



UNITED STATE OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

S

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
. ** .	u Ali di sa	1000		
		٦	EXAMINER	
r Mauri Gazzi Zin ini ini kalin minan menderak ini badasa			<u> </u>	
ELITE I MARYLANIA AMENUE WE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
(kes) - 01000 miles) - 19		Teach Control of the	1 t. 4t. DATE MAILED:	Ģ
				5726751

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

	Application No. (Applicant(s)				
	09/445,963	TANAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,						
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Natalie A. Davis	vith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a sly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e. cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed irreply be timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	June 2001 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal m Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	i			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-11</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,6 and 8-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•		n).			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has	been received.				
Attachment(s)	are priority arraor oo o.o.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/445,963

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's response to the Office Action sent on 2 February 2001 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Claims 1-4 are cancelled, new claims 5-11 are added, the specification amended to include the current status of all nonprovisional parent applications referenced, and the claim heading corrected.
- 2. The statement of statutory basis of all rejections has been included in the previous Office Action and will not be included herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 Withdrawn

- 3. Rejection of claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C.112, second paragraph for the use of ambiguous language is withdrawn in view of the cancellation of those claims.
- 4. Rejection of claims 8-9 under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph is withdrawn in view of amendments. The removal of "diagnosing" and addition of "detecting" to amended claim 8 obviates the indefinite rejection found in paragraph 4 of the previous office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 Maintained

5. Rejection of new claims 7, 9, and 11 under 35 U.S.C.112, second paragraph is maintained as was set forth in the previous office action in the rejection of claims 3 and 4 (paragraph 2) in the recitation of a diagnostically and pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. The specification does not indicate that these terms may be used interchangeably.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 Withdrawn

6. Rejection of claims 1-2 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) (paragraph 6) is withdrawn due to the cancellation of the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/445,963

Art Unit: 1642

7. Rejection of claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) (paragraph 7) is withdrawn due to the cancellation of that claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 Maintained

8. Rejection of new claims 5 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) is maintained as was set forth in the previous office action for the rejection of claims 3 and 4 (paragraph 8). The traversal of this rejection is based on the grounds that Kajiwara does not use 13C-ALA for the detection or diagnosis in the sense of the present invention. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because Kajiwara teaches the claimed isotope; the intended use is not taken into account for a product claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 Maintained

- 9. Rejection of new claims 8 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is maintained as was set forth in the previous office action for the rejection of claim 1 (paragraph 10). The traversal of this rejection is based on the grounds that compounds are structurally analyzed with NMR and Jichlinski, et al. and Jaffe, et al. both relate to the treatment of a malignant tumor. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because NMR detects the presence of the claimed isotope and a tumor must first be detected before it may be treated. In addition, the specification states that the best mode of action for carrying out the invention is when a carbon or nitrogen atom of 5-aminolevuline acid is replaced with an isotope and detected using nuclear magnetic resonance (p. 4-5). Furthermore, the working example (p. 25-26) used NMR to detect malignant tumors. The claims may be allowable if drawn to a method of detecting and photokinetically treating malignant tumors, as the art does not read on a agent/composition, which is able to do both.
- 10. Rejection of new claims 10 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are maintained as was set forth in the previous office action for the rejection of claims 2 and 3 (paragraphs 7 and 8) under 102 (b), as being unpatentable over Kajiwara (JP 02111747, 1990) and Kennedy, et al. (1994). Kajiwara teach 13C-labeled 5-aminolevulinic acid, but does not teach to use it in photokinetic

Application/Control Number: 09/445,963

Art Unit: 1642

treatment of cancer. However, Kennedy, et al. teach photokinetic treatment of tumors using labeled 5-aminolevulinic acid. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Kajiwara and Kennedy to use the method as claimed.

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Natalie A. Davis whose telephone number is 703-308-6410. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5:30 (every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4315 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

GEETRA P. BANSAL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Natalie A. Davis, Ph.D.

August 20, 2001